

WRSa 51st Annual meeting
Poipu, Kauai, Hawaii
February 8-11, 2012

DISAGGREGATING DENSITY: A KNOWLEDGE SPILLOVER ANALYSIS USING GEO-CODED DATA

**JOHAN P. LARSSON, JÖNKÖPING INTERNATIONAL
BUSINESS SCHOOL, SWEDEN**

Discussant:
Kimiko UNO, Tokyo University of Foreign Studies, Japan

SUMMARY

- ◆ Estimate $\ln(\text{wage})$ with geo-coded square data and individual attributes.
- ◆ “Densities” depend on spatial scales: Compare parameters across various size of “squares”.
- ◆ The difference in parameters is interpreted as “spillover” effects.

DISCUSSION

◆ Geo-coded data:

- In Japan, so-called “third-level mesh” is defined as a rectangular area 45” wide and 30” height.
- In Tokyo ($35^{\circ} 40'N$), its size is $1130.9m \times 924.2m$.
- In high-latitude region, like Stockholm ($59^{\circ} 20'N$), width may be much shorter, and the size difference may not be ignored.

◆ Male shares:

- Unchanged with the size of squares; why?

DISCUSSION

◆ Basic formula: micro panel

$$\ln w_{it} = \alpha + \ln X'_{jt} \beta + Z'_{it} \gamma + \lambda_{it} + \varepsilon_t$$

I: number of individuals, J: number of squares.

K,L: numbers of explanatories re. (i, j)

- For fixed t, LHS($I \times 1$), X($J \times L$), Z($I \times K$) :

→ Some ($I \times J$) conversion matrix is necessary.

- Does unique j (possibly the place of residence) corresponds to each person?

- Fixed effects: $u_{it} = \lambda_i + \mu_t + \varepsilon_{it}$ $u_{it} = \lambda_i + \varepsilon_{it}$

DISCUSSION

- Spill-over is considered in terms of size of squares.
- Spill-over effect exists if parameter is smaller for larger squares?
- Spill-over may better be considered using spatial contiguity ω .

$$\ln w_{it} = \alpha + \omega_{ij} \ln X'_{jt} \beta + Z'_{it} \gamma + u_{it}$$