PCS Global Campus Program

Peacebuilding & Conflict Transformation

> University of Peradeniya November 13, 2007

Professor Arjuna Parakrama

Outline of Lecture PART ONE [The Basic Concepts]

- Introduction
- What is Peace?
- What is Conflict? What causes Conflict?
- Who should *Make* Peace?
- What is Peacebuilding?
- Why do we need Conflict Transformation?
- What are the ingredients of successful Peacebuilding for Conflict Transformation?

Outline (Contd.)

- PART TWO [Theory for Practical Analysis]
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Working IN, AROUND, or ON Conflict
- 3. Conflict Mapping
 - 1. Conflict Actors
 - 2. Conflict Causes
- 4. Analyzing Peacebuilding Initiatives
 - 1. Meeting Basic Criteria
 - 2. Quantitative & Qualitative Indicators
- 5. Conclusion

What is Peace?

- Peace is more than the mere absence of War
- "Peace" without Justice is Tyranny. "Peace" without Freedom is Slavery. "Peace" without Law is anarchy. "Peace" that is not Sustainable is Temporary.
- Sustainable Peace requires Justice, Equality, Human Rights & Freedoms, and the Rule of Law. It also needs to be collectively owned and achieved in a participatory manner.

What is Conflict?

- Conflict is the real or perceived incompatibility of goals or means
- Conflict is natural in human society, and not always negative. It is a motor of change
- The ability to engage in overt conflict presupposes some empowerment
- Conflict is (often) the condition of possibility for the exercise of rights

What is Conflict? (Contd.)

- "Violent (or armed) conflict is not natural, and needs to be prevented." This statement becomes complicated if we understand violence as essentially structural and therefore ubiquitous.
- If we believe Gandhi's definition of "poverty as the worst kind of violence", then the status quo is fundamentally violent, and all we have is a choice (not always free, of course, and never unmediated) of different types of violence.
- As analytic categories both "violence" and "conflict" are often unhelpful, especially if we fetishize their dominant or common sense interpretations. Violent conflict has its own dynamic, invariably the causes get displaced by consequences, which turn becomes the cause of further conflict. Yet, the search for and addressing of root causes of conflict is crucial to achieve just and sustainable solutions.

What are the causes of Conflict?

- Is there a common set of causes that inevitably brings about violent conflict?
- Different Approaches/Objectives: Greed vs. Grievance; Economic, Social & Political Disempowerment; Ideological Conflicts; Self-Determination / Secession; Ethnic/Religious Conflicts;
- In my work, I have found only one common factor: complete and utter loss of faith in the system's ability to redress grievances, even on the long term.

Who should Make Peace?

- Is it the groups or individuals who were the cause of the conflict?
- Is it the groups or individuals who gain, in different ways, from the conflict?
- J P Lederach: "the nature and characteristics of contemporary conflict suggest the need for a set of concepts and approaches that go beyond traditional statist diplomacy"
- The peace process is too valuable to be left to the main protagonists who may be part of the problem
- The non-statist approach would be to encourage local initiatives and seek to learn from sustainable traditional peacebuilding/coping strategies

What is Peacebuilding?

• "Peacebuilding seeks to prevent, reduce, transform, and help people recover from violence in all forms, even structural violence that has not yet led to massive civil unrest. At the same time, it empowers people to foster relationships at all levels that sustain them and their environment.... Peacebuilding supports the development of relationships at all levels of society: between individuals and within families, communities, organisations, businesses, Governments, and cultural, religious, economic, and political institutions and movements. Relationships are a form of power or *social*

Peacebuilding is not ...

- Peacebuilding is not only necessary for postwar or post-conflict societies
- Peacebuilding is not Peacekeeping
- Peacebuilding is not based primarily on Western ideas
- Peacebuilding does not avoid conflict or ignore structural forms of violence and injustice.
- Peacebuilding is not a passive or uncontroversial activity
- Peacebuilding is not a top-down process

Peacebuilding ...

- Should be a Strategic Connecting Space
- Should be Multi-Disciplinary and Multi-Dimensional in Approach
- Should be Value-Driven and Rights-Based
- Should protect against Elite Capture
- Requires Relational Skills: self-reflection, active listening, appreciative inquiry, creative problemsolving, dialogue, mediation and negotiation skills
- Requires ANALYSIS: understanding of local context, sensitivity to Epistemic, Structural, and Physical (Secondary) Violence

Why do we need Conflict Transformation?

- Conflict *Management*, Conflict *Resolution*, Conflict *Recovery* are unsatisfactory terms because they validate the pre-conflict status quo. It is because the pre-conflict status quo was problematic that the violence took place, after all.
- Hence, we should be aiming at conflict transformation, which seeks to bring about a sustainable peace through *transforming* the context into one in which the causes of conflict have been addressed.
- The goal, then, is not to go back to the pre-conflict situation, but to improve on it by taking the conflict seriously.

Peacebuilding for Conflict Transformation

- Long-term conflict traumatizes the entire socius, not merely individuals, denuding the culture of its ability to cope and regenerate: Collective Trauma & Cultural Bereavement
- Women, Children, Marginal Groups suffer most from violent conflict
- On the other hand, it is also true that long-term conflict tends to loosen the hegemony of dominant groups within a hierarchized society.
- However, these "victories" are often withdrawn after the conflict is over. The challenge, therefore, is to make these provisional and temporary gains of marginal groups sustainable.

Conflict Transformation

• "Conflict Transformation is to envision and respond to the ebb and flow of social conflict as life-giving opportunities for creating constructive change processes that reduce violence, increase justice in direct interaction and social structures, and respond to real-life problems in human relationships." Lederach, J P The Little Book of Conflict Transformation

Any Clarifications Needed?

Any Questions?

Any Comments?

Intractable Violent Conflict

• "If the ruling class has lost its consensus, i.e. is no longer "leading" but only "dominant", exercising coercive force alone, this means precisely that the great masses have become detached from their traditional ideologies, and no longer believe what they used to believe previously, etc. The crisis consists precisely in the fact that the old is dying and the new cannot be born; and in this interregnum a great variety of morbid symptoms appear". Antonio Gramsci

Are we Working IN, AROUND or ON Conflict?

- "In" Conflict refers mainly to locations and areas of work. At best it may mean that we deal with conflict consequences
- "Around" Conflict indicates that we circumvent either the areas or the issues (often both) that are controversial or difficult
- Working "On" Conflict recognizes the importance of engaging with conflict causes and areas with a view to transforming the conflict

Conflict Mapping I

- Who are the main (conflict) actors?
- What do they want most?
- What is their role in the (conflict) situation?
- (How) Has this role changed in the recent past?
- What trends do you see in the future?

					Main Interest / Agenda	Influence Time Frame				
						For past 02 years	LTTE, UNP			
							SLA, KF	A'pura Airport attack	Int.'natna ICriticism for HR violations	Yes

Conflict Mapping II

- Identify the main or root causes of conflict
- Prioritize them in order of importance
- Which causes may have triggered the violent conflict? [Immediate Cause]
- Which causes are also consequences of the conflict?
- What trends do you see in relation to causes and consequences of the conflict?

Qualitative and Quantitative Indicators of Good Practice in Supporting the Peace Process:

• Caste discrimination

Main causes of conflict/dispute in the area (in order of importance):¹

Analyzing Peacebuilding Initiatives

- Does the selected initiative seek to address the main causes of conflict?
- How does it do so?
- (How) Does it reduce conflict/tension?
- Does it involve Marginal Groups?
- Does it have broad community ownership?
- Does it respond to both urgent and longterm local/national needs?

CRITERIA

Participation

Sustainability

Accountability

Empowerment

Equity/Justice/ Fairness

Non-Discrimination

INDICATORS QUANTITATIVE QUALITATIVE

No. & Categories Represented Effect on Decision-making

Extent of local financial support

No. of protests addressed

+ changes in women's voice

% change in poorest income levels

Inclusion Statistics

Level of local Ownership

> Grievance/Appeal in place

Changes in attitude & awareness

How gender, caste culture issues dealt

Minorities in leadership positions **Any Clarifications Needed?**

Any Questions?

Any Comments?